JUL 5 1955

Assistant Director for Current Intelligence

Director of Communications

CLARC

25X1

Appendix I of Report of the Hoover Commission Task Force on Intelligence Activities

I. I have reviewed Appendix I (Parts 1 and 2) of the Task Force Report on Intelligence Activities. My comments on Part 1 which deals with communications security matters are attached. With respect to Part 2, which deals with communications support of Intelligence operations, I have the following with communications support of Intelligence operations, I have the following comments:

Reference Recommendation 1: I am not convinced that there is need to create an additional echelon to review communications and electronics requirements. (See comment on Recommendation 10.)

consult with the Joint Comminications-Electronics Committee of the Joint Chiefs of Staff on broad policy problems concerning communications require-Chiefs for the support of CIA. For example, the JCEC has been provided with the CIA estimated wartime circuit requirements and has agreed to meet them.

Reference Recommendation 3: No comment.

Reference Recommendation 6: No comment.

mended action has been taken. Communications channels are installed and tested to provide communications from the CIA Relocation Center to its over-seas stations and to other Government agencies.

every effort to reduce cryptographic violations to the minimum.

Reference Recommendation 9: I believe the responsibility to provide sadditional controls on the use of clear text messages should be assigned to the USCSB and not to the MSC as recommended. A proposal to this effect has been submitted by the Assistant to the Secretary of Defense (Special Operations).

TS 178365

Approved For Release 2002/08/05 - CIA-RDPZ8S05450A0001001500085 of U

OC-P

Appendix I of Report of the Hoover Commission Task Force (contd.)

25X1A

With the exception of \_\_\_\_\_traffic, all CIA electrically transmitted messages are encrypted in Category A Systems which offer maximum protection to CIA's transmissions.

Before Recommendation 10: I am not aware of any duplication in the intelligence services due to overemphasis of security consideration nor does the study point out any.

- 2. Fart 2 of Annex 1 of the reference report is so generalized that I find it difficult to make specific comments or recommendations. The study points out where displication or inefficiencies could exist but does not state whether or not in the opinion of the invastigator that it does exist. On the basis of a possible duplication the study then recommends the creation and maintenance of additional high level committees to provide continuous review. It is my personal belief that the present practice of having ad hoc investigations of dovernment activities is most desirable, but that additional echelons and high level reviewing committees should be established only when a definite need exists and then to solve specific problems or deficiencies. In my opinion, the creation of an additional communications group would further complicate an already complex problem, and would duplicate and conflict with the responsibilities and activities of the Telecommunications Planning Committee, JUSC, and other working groups.
- 3. I am propered to elaborate on the above if there is need for additional detail.

25X1A

Attachment (Comments on Part I, Appendix I of Moover Com. Tank Force on Intal. Activities) CUNFIDENTIAL

Comments on Part I, Appendix I of the Hoover Commission Task Force on Intelligence Activities

## Reference Recommendation A:

- delete
- It achieve this increased security, as the report points out, the Services and MA must be given the necessary potential for development in this field. This potential is manpower. We must recognize that in order to attract qualified individuals to the service we must be willing and able to pay selaries communicate with those in equivalent industry. Since the development of cryptographic equipments is primarily the responsibility of MSA, resommendations to increase their capabilities in this field should be made by them.
- 2. USCSB should be responsible for the study. A subcommittee should be established under USCSB to conduct the study, within the limitations of MSC 168, and to make the necessary recommendations. The recommendations should include a statement of policy, which can be later issued as a USCSB or MSC directive: (1) establishing the authority to prescribe minimum standards for the development of communications procedures which will provide and maintain transmission security, and (2) requiring the various departments and agencies of the Government to submit periodic reports to USCSB which will indicate that they have examined their communications security systems, procedures and practices and have determined that they are operating in conformance with the prescribed minimum standards.
- 3. The above subcommittee should also be charged with the responsibility for reexamining MSC 168.

## Reference Recommendation #5:

1. I believe it is inadvisable at this time to establish a single board as recommended. Although many of the matters which are of interest to USCIB, the primary functions and responsibilities of these two boards are sufficiently divergent to justify their separate existence.

CONFIDENTIAL